

- Omnibus motion made for Basic Skills Certificate – B and Advanced Skills Certificate as the revisions to all three proposals are the same.

Omnibus motion: A Krebs/M Somerville

That Education Council approves the program revisions:

Basic Skills Certificate - B and

Advanced Skills Certificate as recommended by the CPRC – AFP.

b. Program revision: Basic Skills Certificate - B

Motion:

That Education Council approves the program revision: Basic Skills Certificate – B as recommended by the CPRC - AFP:

- As outlined in overview.

Carried

c. Program revision: Advanced Skills Certificate

Motion:

That Education Council approves the program revision: Advanced Skills Certificate as recommended by the CPRC - AFP:

- As outlined in overview.

Carried

5.2 Curriculum recommended by the CPRC STH

a. Course revision: DSCI 490 Data Science Project

Motion: W Gillett/Y Khmelevsky

That Education Council approves the course revision: DSCI 490 Data Science Project as recommended by the CPRC - STH:

- J Hobart noted that the revision to the course is the increase in hours – utilization of two (2) instructors, to include one from Business with a Marketing background.
- C Newitt indicated that there was an issue raised in regards to whether course hours constitute a lecture or should be a seminar.
- W Gillett noted that the way the course is conceived is similar to the Hons research course in Business. Course is a lecture/seminar with limited number of students and includes two (2) instructors working with students on a research project.
- J Hobart explained that this could not be a standard seminar approach given the volume of work.
- S Lembke sought clarification on how the course would work and noted that the standards would need to be clearly set/outlined.
- J Hobart clarified that there was a difference between the Hons program and DSCI 490 as the latter was mandatory.
- S Lembke sought clarification on whether there could be smaller groups of students.
- Y Moritz noted that smaller groups were required as the course was not a lecture but fits more of a seminar approach. A suggestion was made to make the course three (3) hours and not six (6) and create smaller groups.
- J Hobart noted that there is no formal cap on the number of students and this would need to be addressed. Additionally, the suggestions made would affect the Teaching Load Unit (TLU).
- Y Moritz agreed that a cap should be set and additional sections added.
- W Gillett suggested that the contact hours should be three (3) hours and not six (6) as outlined.
- There was a subsequent discussion on the framework of the course, contact hours and how the course would be taught.
- Several members expressed an issue with the contact hours. There was also an issue raised regarding the number of credits assigned to the hours outlined. As a

result, it was suggested that the motion for DSCI 490 be withdrawn from the current meeting and deferred to another sitting of EdCo. This suggestion was supported by the committee.

Deferred

Motion: C Newitt/D Marques

That Education council approves the deferral of DSCI 490 Data Science Project.

Carried

b. Program revision: Bachelor of Computer Information Systems Degree

Motion: A Krebs/M Somerville

That Education Council approves the program revision: Bachelor of Computer Information Systems Degree as recommended by the CPRC - STH:

- Removal of ENGL courses to align with program and delivery on campuses.
- BCIS program is currently only offered in Kelowna and not other campuses.
- In the event of any issues, students can take communication courses.
- M Somerville sought clarification on the text/line above the listed CMNS courses in Quali and asked whether the line should be removed since CMNS courses are preferred.
- Y Khmelevsky agreed and noted that the change **would be made to reflect that CMNS 113 and CMNS 123 are the preferred courses**. Additionally, the **line above the previously listed ENGL courses would be deleted** as the courses were being removed.
- A Hay sought clarification on whether students with English courses would still be required to take Communication courses
- Y Khmelevsky clarified that students would be accepted if they have completed English courses and transfers would be accepted through the Chair. This evaluation is necessary as in some cases the English course may not be adequate.

Carried

- L Postowski provided an overview of the Access to Practical Nursing program and the associated courses. The program is Ministry funded and aims to increase the number of nurses in BC.
- 52 weeks program that facilitates the movement towards becoming

c. New course: PNSG 211A Health Promotion A

Motion: J Somerville/M Somerville

That Education Council approves the new course: PNSG 211A Health Promotion A as recommended by the CPRC - STH:

- 30 hours – part of the Access to Practical Nursing program.
- Delivered online.

Carried

d. New course: PNSG 212A Professional Practice A

Motion: J Somerville/A Krebs

That Education Council approves the new course: PNSG 212A Professional Practice A as recommended by the CPRC - STH:

- 40 hours – part of the Access to Practical Nursing program.
- Delivered online.

Carried

e. New course: PNSG 213A Variations in Health A

Motion: J Gillett/S Ler

That Education Council approves the new course: PNSG 213A Variations in Health A as recommended by the CPRC - STH:

- 60 hours – part of the Access to Practical Nursing program.
- Delivered online.

Carried

f. New course: PNSG 214A Pharmacology A

Motion: J Ragsdale/B Penfound

That Education Council approves the new course: PNSG 214A Pharmacology A as recommended by the CPRC - STH:

- 60 hours

- G Couper explained that it would be difficult to run the program without the restaurant facility, but provisions could be made in some areas.

Carried

d. Program revision: Culinary Arts Certificate

Motion: R Tyner/M Somerville

That Education Council approves the program revision: Culinary Arts Certificate as recommended by the CPRC – T/A:

- A

- J Ragsdale sought confirmation on whether there was an articulation regarding students being able to do both the online and face-to-face courses.
- B Ridgeley clarified that this was the case.

c. Course revision: LSEC 101 Advanced Litigation

Motion: S Lembke/ A Krebs

That Education Council approves the course revision: LSEC 101 Advanced Litigation as recommended by the CPRC - BUS:

- 18 hours removed.

Carried

d. Course revision: LSEC 112 Family Law

Motion: L Mallory/D Marques

That Education Council approves the course revision: LSEC 112 Family Law as recommended by the CPRC - BUS:

- Removing 18 hours.

Carried

e. Course revision: LSEC 116 Litigation Legal Office Procedures

Motion: J Ragsdale/L Mallory

That Education Council approves the course revision: LSEC 116 Litigation Legal Office Procedures as recommended by the CPRC - BUS:

- Adding 30 hours.

Carried

f. Course revision: LSEC 120 Personal Injury

Motion: J Ragsdale/R Tyner

That Education Council approves the course revision: LSEC 120 Personal Injury as recommended by the CPRC - BUS:

- Revision to content to remove mot32 reW*nBT/F1 9.96 Tf1 0 0 1 183.05 492.19 Tm0 g0 Gent eW*en-C

j. Course revision: LAA 100 Litigation Procedures I

Motion: P Lefebvre/S Lembke

That Education Council approves the course revision: LAA 100 Litigation Procedures I as recommended by the CPRC - BUS:

- Increase in hours to mirror face-to-face delivery.
- Adding 36 hours.

Carried

k. Course revision: LAA 101 Litigation Procedures II

Motion: A Krebs/R Tyner

That Education Council approves the course revision: LAA 101 Litigation Procedures II as recommended by the CPRC - BUS:

- Adding 36 hours.

Carried

l. Course revision: LAA 112 Family Litigation Procedures

Motion: M Somerville/D

